



9:00 - 9:30 | REGISTRATION

9:30 - 10:00 **KEYNOTE SPEECH**

10:00 - 11:00 **FIRST SESSION**

FRAGMENTED SAVINGS, MISSED OPPORTUNITIES: BRIDGING THE GAP WITH LONG-TERM SAVING INVESTMENT PRODUCTS

As the EU grapples with economic fragmentation, the deep pool of household wealth across Europe remains an underutilised asset. Despite having one of the largest savings markets globally, Europe's retail investment channels are fragmented, with individual Member States operating their own incentives and structures. The EU's savings ecosystem lacks coordination, and this has led to inefficiencies where household wealth is not being channelled into long-term investments such as green transition financing, innovation and other key sectors vital for the continent's strategic autonomy.

The Retail Investment Strategy initially promised to integrate Europe's savings into longterm economic goals such as green transition financing and fostering equity culture. However, the Commission's proposal has been diluted, leaving it fragmented and less ambitious. Without stronger commitment and harmonisation, the strategy may fail to unlock its full potential for Europe's strategic autonomy.

What kind of pan-European tax incentives or financial products would be most effective in encouraging cross-border retail investment? How can authorisation and approval processes be facilitated? Given the lack of success with previous efforts like the pan-European personal pension, what strategies should the EU adopt to incentivise funded pension schemes across Member States? Should this be achieved through legislation, industry agreements, or a combination of both? What lessons can the EU learn from successful private sector initiatives and international examples, to design more attractive and effective structures for retirement savings and long-term investment?

- 11:00 11:30 | COFFEE BREAK
- 11:30 12:00 **FIRESIDE CHAT**

12:00 - 13:00 SECOND SESSION

SUPERVISORY AGILITY IN A FRACTURED WORLD: RETHINKING EFFICIENCY FOR RESILIENCE

In a world marked by deepening geopolitical fault lines, the assumption that capital market supervision should primarily aim for procedural efficiency is being challenged. As extraterritorial financial sanctions multiply, global standard-setters drift apart, and technological disruption outpaces regulatory cycles, Europe must rethink what 'effective' supervision means.

Rather than aspiring solely to centralisation under a 'single supervisor' model, the EU needs a more agile, layered supervisory architecture – one that blends strategic coordination at the European level with decentralised, risk-based execution by national authorities. Agility, responsiveness and cross-border trust are becoming as important as harmonisation and consistency. This session will examine whether the current supervisory system – still shaped by post-crisis logic – is fit for a world of fragmented power, digital finance and escalating systemic risks.

Is the goal of a 'single supervisor' still politically and practically feasible, or should the EU double down on a model of agile coordination and mutual reliance? How can the EU ensure supervisory trust across Member States to enable effective delegation, especially in cross-border group supervision? How can the EU enhance the ability of supervisors (ESMA and NCAs) to detect, assess and act on emerging risks – especially those linked to AI, digital assets and geopolitical volatility? What lessons can be drawn from the US model, where supervisory fragmentation coexists with market dynamism and scale?







- 13:00 14:00 | LUNCH BREAK
- 14:00 14:30 **ECMI BEST PAPER**
- 14:30 15:00 **FIRESIDE CHAT**
- 15:00 16:00 **THIRD SESSION**

STRATEGIC INFRASTRUCTURE FOR THE EU'S CAPITAL MARKETS: BEYOND THE PLUMBING

Europe's capital markets remain fragmented, not only in rules and products, but also in the infrastructure that supports them. As the EU repositions its financial strategy under the banner of the Savings and Investments Union (SIU), infrastructure is emerging as a key battleground not just for efficiency, but for sovereignty, innovation and investor trust.

From clearing and settlement to digital issuance, supervisory data and tokenised assets, the next phase of market integration will be built not only on legislative harmonisation, but on the deployment of common, future-proof infrastructure. At the same time, strategic dependencies – including continued reliance on third-country platforms – pose questions of resilience and control. This session explores whether the EU needs a coordinated infrastructure strategy for capital markets.

Are Europe's capital markets strategically autonomous or strategically vulnerable? Can capital market infrastructure become a European industrial ambition, akin to energy or cloud sovereignty? Should the EU adopt an 'Airbus-like' model to consolidate and scale financial infrastructures of systemic importance, and if so, how can it be done? How to render the SIU agenda concrete when it comes to infrastructures?

16:00 - 16:15 **CLOSING REMARKS**

OUR PARTNERS



Imperial College | Brevan Howard Centre Business School | for Financial Analysis



